

Note: Outdoors WA has made this anonymous submission available so that others can see a range of issues identified from the recent Outdoors Forum and the materials presented. The views expressed here are those of the author and do not necessarily represent or reflect the views of Outdoors WA. We are thankful for those within the WA Outdoors Sector that have utilised their time and expertise in responding to these key issues.

**Draft Perth and Peel Green Growth Plan for 3.5 million (PPGGP)**  
**Department of the Premier and Cabinet**  
**Locked Bag 3001**  
**WEST PERTH WA 6872**  
[consultation@dpc.wa.gov.au](mailto:consultation@dpc.wa.gov.au)

**I hereby tender the following additional commentary (and additional reference\*) on the Draft Perth and Peel Green Growth Plan for 3.5 million (PPGGP) along with additional comments references to identified extracts from an additional document, from a perspective of and a focus on Recreation/Outdoor Recreation as part of my personal submission on the PPGGP.**

### **Final Submission**

(At page 30) For example, although government management of public land includes recognition of tourism and recreation as a legitimate use, in practice, management tends to favour productive values that include timber extraction, mining and agriculture, as well as conservation (Pigram and Jenkins 1999; Haukeland 2010). This often clashes with the Western tradition in rural areas of free access to public land for various uses including recreation (Williams 2001).

(At page 31) Since government, for economic and environmental reasons respectively, tends to prioritize land uses associated with production and conservation, this can constrain levels of access for non-productivist modes of land-use, including tourism and recreation. As a result, opportunities for nature-based tourism and recreation on the urban fringe may be restricted while growing urban populations, with increased disposable time and income, are fuelling a growing demand for tourism and recreation access (Williams and Shaw 2009).

\*Source: Hughes, Michael and Tye, Marian and Jones, Roy. 2013. Whose land is it anyway? Contesting urban fringe nature-based tourism and recreation in Western Australia. *Tourism Recreation Research* 38 (1): pp. 29-42.

### **My Final Submission**

**The PPGGP must not be used to constrain levels of access through prioritising production (including timber extraction, mining and agriculture) and conservation on the urban fringe, nor any part of the Perth and Peel Regions, as demand for recreation access grows exponentially and with certainty towards 2050**

19 April 2016